

The International Criminal Court's Imbalanced Justice

The Court performs legal acrobatics to charge Israeli leaders while being derelict in its duty to bring Venezuela's dictator to justice

Originally published January 28, 2025 | Updated January 2026

By Eli Kenin

The International Criminal Court's logo displays a scale nestled between two olive branches symbolizing the balance between justice and peace. Each year, on July 17, the ICC celebrates the signing of The Rome Statute in 1998, the international treaty that established it, with themes such "building a more just world" and "a world without impunity." Yet, twenty-two years after the court began to function in 2002, Karim Khan, the current chief prosecutor, has issued an arrest warrant for Israel's democratically elected Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu while offering near impunity to Venezuelan dictator Nicolás Maduro.

Meanwhile, Khan, an English barrister of Pakistani descent, is accused of the sexual harassment of an employee,¹ while his sister-in-law represents Maduro before the very court that he heads,² a clear violation of the ICC's Code of Conduct for the Office of the Prosecutor.³

The International Criminal Court describes itself as "the world's first permanent international criminal court." The ICC is an independent judicial body distinct from the United Nations, although the Rome Statute, which is the basis of its creation, was negotiated within the UN.⁴

The Court's power to prosecute is limited by two provisions in the Rome Statute: voluntary adherence to the treaty as a 'State Party' and 'complementarity', which means that national courts must be incapable of prosecuting an individual before the ICC can step in.

Comparing the ICC's intervention in Israel and Venezuela

Nicolás Maduro Moros has been president of Venezuela since 2013, having taken over following the death of strongman Hugo Chavez. He has retained power since then through a series of disputed elections, the latest of which took place on July 28, 2024. This time, there is irrefutable evidence that the election was stolen.⁵ Caught off guard, Maduro stepped up repression⁶—documented since 2014—with the aid of his Cuban and Russian allies.⁷ Over 7.7 million Venezuelans (20% of the population) have fled since 2014, one of the largest displacement crises in the world.⁸

In 2021, the International Criminal Court opened formal investigations into war crimes and crimes against humanity in the Palestinian territories (March 3, 2021)⁹ as well as crimes against humanity in Venezuela (November 3, 2021).¹⁰

Although the ICC considers the gravity of crimes, it does not prioritize cases based on whether they occur during interstate conflicts, civil wars, or state repression. Venezuela exemplifies the latter, while the Israel/Palestine conflict is often analyzed through both international (IAC) and non-international (NIAC) armed-conflict frameworks—a dual-classification approach underscoring the conflict’s exceptional legal complexity.¹¹

It may appear incongruous to contrast cases that are inherently different. However, given the legal maneuvering required to charge Israeli leaders compared to the simplicity of the Venezuelan case, the International Criminal Court should have already issued arrest warrants for Nicolás Maduro and his collaborators.

In effect, Venezuela represents a classic case for which the International Criminal Court was created: a State Signatory to the Rome Statute with recognized international borders, in which specific individuals in governmental positions have allegedly abused citizens’ human rights and undermined the national court system, leaving them without legal recourse. In addition, the case was referred to the Court by multiple sovereign states, all full members of the United Nations and signatories to the Rome Statute. It therefore provides a baseline example of how the ICC was intended to operate.

Israel is not a signatory of the Rome Statute. However, The ICC considers that a crime committed on the territory of a Member State gives it jurisdiction to prosecute the offending nation. Since “the State of Palestine” is a signatory to the Statute, the Court ruled that it can prosecute Israel’s democratically elected leaders. To do this, required a further ruling that the territory of Palestine extends to “Gaza and the West Bank, including East Jerusalem,” a decision that the presiding judge on a panel of three that approved it called [legal] “acrobatics.”¹² The referring state was “the State of Palestine,”¹³ whose status as a sovereign state is not recognized by the UN and its judicial organ, the International Court of Justice.

Although there have been jurisdictional issues in other cases such as Russia/Ukraine and Myanmar/Bangladesh, the International Criminal Court has stretched its definitions of both jurisdiction and national sovereignty even further to extend its authority over Israel.

The ICC's slow investigation of Maduro's human rights violations

In August 2017, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights released a detailed report on repression in Venezuela, documenting extrajudicial killings, torture (including beatings, electric shocks, suffocation, and threats of sexual violence), arbitrary detentions, enforced disappearances, and political repression, with women and

children among the victims.¹⁴ These findings have been consistently echoed in subsequent investigations into Venezuela's human rights abuses.

In September 2018, the ICC received a referral from Canada and five Latin American countries requesting an investigation into human rights violations in Venezuela since February 2014.¹⁵

At the end of 2020, the Organization of American States reported 18,000 murders, 15,000 arbitrary arrests, and 650 torture cases since 2014 in what it termed the worst humanitarian crisis in history of the continent. Its scathing 145-page report, *Fostering Impunity: The Impact of the Failure of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to Open an Investigation into Crimes Against Humanity in Venezuela*,¹⁶ claimed that the lack of action "emboldens Maduro into committing more crimes, engineering fraudulent votes."

The ICC's chief prosecutor, Karim Khan, finally concluded in December 2020 that there was "a reasonable basis to believe these crimes occurred,"¹⁷ but only opened a formal investigation at the end of 2021, almost a year later.¹⁸

In April 2022, almost six months after opening the formal investigation, the Court accepted Venezuela's request to investigate itself under Article 18(2) of the Rome Statute that confirms the ICC's status as a court of last resort, giving precedence to national prosecutions.¹⁹ The Court did not resume its investigation until March 2024 after rejecting Venezuela's appeal that its justice system could manage the investigation independently.²⁰

Urgent calls for action following the July 28 elections

On August 8, 2024, Amnesty International called Prosecutor Karim Khan's silence on the Venezuelan crisis "alarming."²¹ On September 7, thirty-one former Spanish and Latin American leaders urged the ICC to issue an arrest warrant for President Nicolás Maduro and his associates for crimes against humanity and state terrorism.²²

Facing international pressure, on December 2, Khan called for the release of minors detained in Venezuela following the election and cautioned that Venezuela's opportunity to rely on complementarity is nearly exhausted.²³ The Chief Prosecutor has been using this pillar of the Rome Statute to indulge Venezuela's authoritarian leader for years.

Karim Khan's faith in Venezuela's courts is astounding considering that Human Rights Watch reported that "The [Venezuelan] judiciary stopped functioning as an independent branch of government in 2004," and that "judicial authorities have been complicit in abuses."²⁴

The “State of Palestine” and the International Criminal Court

In November 2012, the UN General Assembly granted Palestinians "non-Member Observer State" status.²⁵ The decision did not confirm Palestine as a sovereign nation but allowed it to request membership in international organizations such as the International Criminal Court.

According to an ICC statement, “On January 1, 2015, the Government of ‘The State of Palestine’ accepted the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court over alleged crimes committed in the occupied Palestinian territory, including East Jerusalem, since June 13, 2014.”²⁶

While the use of the word “State” can be justified as ICC legal terminology applied to all signatories, for Israelis it implies the recognition of Palestine as a sovereign nation.

On January 16, 2015, then ICC Chief Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda, a Gambian national, began a preliminary examination into “the Situation in Palestine” that concluded in December 2019.²⁷

The investigation of Venezuela was based on a referral by six State Members, while the request to open an investigation against Israel was an 18-page document signed by Palestinian Authority Foreign Minister Riyad al-Maliki, complaining that the ICC’s preliminary examination had not only failed to “punish, let alone investigate, crimes associated with the unlawful occupation of Palestine,” but had “only emboldened the perpetrators to carry on with their criminal deeds.”²⁸ It was submitted in the name of “the State of Palestine.”

Thus, based on a declaration by an autonomous entity, the Palestinian Authority, which is not recognized as a sovereign state by the UN, the International Criminal Court prepared an investigation into a non-signatory state, Israel. On February 5, 2021, over a year later, the court would rule on the territorial jurisdiction of Palestine.

The investigation, officially announced in March 2021, primarily covered alleged crimes dating to June 13, 2014, when neither Israel nor the Palestinians were subject to the Court’s authority.²⁹ In addition, the Court delved into Israeli settlement policy in the occupied territories. It was at the instigation of Arab states that the Rome Statute made introducing a civilian population into the territory it occupies a war crime.³⁰

The “alleged crimes” of June 2014 “committed in the occupied Palestinian territory” addressed Israel’s actions during Operation Protective Edge.³¹ Like October 7, 2023, the violence began with a Hamas terrorist attack—the kidnapping and murder of three Israeli youths on June 12.

By acceding to the International Criminal Court, the Palestinians clearly did not intend for it to investigate the kidnappings or acts of terrorism perpetrated by Hamas; rather, they sought to use the Court as a strategic weapon against Israel.

The territorial jurisdiction ruling

Chief Prosecutor Bensouda was convinced that "the Court's territorial jurisdiction extends to the Palestinian territory occupied since 1967, including East Jerusalem" and that "war crimes have been or are being committed there." However, she sought confirmation due to conflicting views on Palestine's statehood, its lack of full control over the occupied territories, disputed borders, and the Palestinian Authority's absence in Gaza's governance.³²

Based on the UN General Assembly's granting of non-Member State status to Palestine and its accession to the Rome Statute, a majority of two of the three judges ruled that "the Court's territorial jurisdiction in the Situation in Palestine extends to the territories occupied by Israel since 1967, namely Gaza and the West Bank, including East Jerusalem."³³

Noting that UN General Assembly resolutions are non-binding, the presiding judge, Hungarian Judge Péter Kovács, issued what the Court called a *Partly Dissenting Opinion*³⁴:

In the present ruling, I am unable to identify the actual rules of international law and the actual legal approach of the UN regarding Palestine's statehood and its territory and borders on which the Majority Decision is based. "The State Party" qualification cannot change this fact. Acrobatics with provisions of the Statute cannot mask legal reality.

Israel and Palestine and the ICC post October 7

On October 7, 2023, more than 3,000 Hamas and Islamic Jihad terrorists invaded southern Israel from the Gaza Strip, from which Israel had unilaterally withdrawn in 2005, perpetrating a massacre on the surrounding communities and a music festival while firing missiles deep into the country. During the attack, 1,200 Israelis were killed, thousands were injured, and 251 hostages were abducted. The attackers filmed their horrific acts, including rape, decapitation, dismemberment, and the murder of children in front of their parents, using body cameras.

Israel launched a counterattack to stop missile fire into its territory, secure the return of hostages, and deter future threats from Hamas.

In early December 2023, Karim Khan visited Israel and the Palestinian Authority in a mission that he termed "not investigative in nature." Meeting with the families of Israeli victims of the October 7 massacre, he called for the "immediate" release of the hostages by Hamas.³⁵

He then met President Mahmoud Abbas and other Palestinian officials in Ramallah, emphasizing the significance of his first official visit to "the State of Palestine." After

meeting with the families of Palestinian victims, he said that “we must never become numb to such suffering.”

It was an attempt to show even-handedness less than two months after October 7 and just over a month after Israel launched its full-scale counterattack on the Gaza Strip. The chief prosecutor blundered, however, by citing the Red Cross’ assessment of “the dire humanitarian situation in Gaza” while failing to mention that Hamas refused to grant the organization access to the Israeli hostages, an action that violated the Geneva Convention.

Karim Khan concluded the communique with the hope that “this visit represents my first but not my last to both Israel and Palestine.” In contrast to his multiple trips to Venezuela, he did not return before announcing on May 20, 2024, that he was applying for arrest warrants for Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, Defense Minister Yoav Gallant, and three Hamas leaders following a seven-month investigation.³⁶

The announcement came the same day that ICC staff were scheduled to arrive in Israel and begin a series of meetings with government and military officials in preparation for the Chief Prosecutor’s first investigative visit to Israel. According to the *Wall Street Journal*, Israel was prepared to prove that its conduct during the war in Gaza was consistent with international law.³⁷

When questioned about the planned meetings in Israel, the Chief Prosecutor’s office replied that it had given Israel ample opportunity over the past three years “to engage in dialogue and provide information relevant to its investigation into conduct in the Palestinian territories, which began well before the October 7 Hamas attacks and Israel’s military response.”

The Venezuela investigation: a different timeframe

While Karim Khan never made an official investigative trip to Israel, he visited Venezuela on four occasions, where he met with President Nicolás Maduro and other government officials and even addressed the National Assembly. The visits covered a period from November 5, 2021, to April 22, 2024, close to two and a half years.

During his visits, the Chief Prosecutor and the Venezuelan authorities signed Memoranda of Understanding, which included a Joint Work Plan that contained “provision of support on legislative amendments, delivery of training to national authorities, and the development of mechanisms for enhanced positive complementarity.”³⁸ Finally, Khan cut the ribbon, inaugurating a new in-country office of the ICC Office of the Prosecutor in Caracas on April 22, 2024.³⁹

For an experienced human rights attorney, Karim Khan seems incredibly naive, sparing no effort to reform an authoritarian regime that has caused economic collapse and mass migration unparalleled in Latin America’s history.

The Chief Prosecutor claimed that Israel had many occasions over the past three years to respond to its ongoing investigation. It has been over three years since the ICC initiated its official investigation into Venezuela, still no arrest warrants have been requested.

Israel: the question of continuity in the investigation

On November 21, 2024, The ICC issued arrest warrants for Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and Former Israeli Defense Minister Yoav Gallant “for crimes against humanity and war crimes committed from at least 8 October 2023 until at least 20 May 2024.”⁴⁰

The statement clearly indicates that the warrants were issued in response to the October 7, 2023, invasion of southern Israel by Hamas terrorists, and Israel's subsequent counteroffensive.

While there may be some overlap between the alleged crimes investigated prior to October 7, the primary accusation of “the war crime of starvation as a method of warfare” is new and marks the first application of this charge in history. The ICC clearly did not give Israel enough time to invoke the principle of complementarity that allows a state to investigate itself before the prosecutor seeks arrest warrants.

The original referral to investigate Israel was made by the Palestinian Authority. Following October 7, the ICC received referrals from seven Member States,⁴¹ marking a notable change from the pre-existing investigation. As *Just Security*, an online forum at New York University School of Law, observed:⁴²

Although the request for arrest warrants announced by Karim Khan, the current ICC Prosecutor, on May 20, 2024, stems from the same Palestine Situation, it obviously relates to a very different set of events from those introduced by former Prosecutor Bensouda in 2021, and relates to a different temporal framework.

The International Criminal Court did not consider this, preferring to rush through the warrants while denying Israel's right to respond within a reasonable timeframe.

The issue of moral equivalence

In addition to the warrants issued for the Israel's leaders, another was issued for Mohammed Diab Ibrahim al-Masri (nom de guerre, Mohammed Deif), Hamas' military leader, reportedly killed by the IDF. This creates a moral equivalence between Israel's democracy and Hamas, which is considered a terrorist group by the United States and other important democratic nations.

The question of self-defense

It took the ICC one year from opening its investigation to issue an arrest warrant for Vladimir Putin in connection with the Russia–Ukraine war. In that case, Russia was undeniably the aggressor.

Following Hamas’ unprovoked attack on Israel, the IDF conducted operations with caution to pursue militants beneath hospitals where they ran their operations and held hostages. The terrorists used civilians as human shields and launched missiles from their homes. According to David Scheffer, former U.S. ambassador-at-large for war crimes, Israel was not given a sufficient opportunity “to justify the way it is waging warfare in Gaza.”⁴³

This is especially relevant as Israel, a longstanding democracy with an independent judiciary and military justice system, should not have been subject to ICC involvement, as noted by a bipartisan group of U.S. senators in May 2024.

Tone of the investigations

The contrast in the tone of communication when comparing the two cases seems to reveal the chief prosecutor’s respect for authoritarian Venezuela and his hostility towards democratic Israel.

The Venezuelan autocrat—accused by the UN and human rights organizations of murder and forced disappearances and wanted for narco-terrorism by the United States⁴⁴—is nevertheless addressed as “His Excellency.” For example: “A clear commitment from His Excellency President Maduro to invite the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights to return to Venezuela.”⁴⁵

This could be considered standard diplomatic protocol, but the tone belies an underlying cordiality. Karim Khan “had the honor to address the National Assembly of Venezuela.” What kind of honor is it to address a legislative body that was elected fraudulently in 2020, according to the Venezuelan opposition and international actors such as the European Union, the United States, the Organization of American States, and the Lima Group? ⁴⁶

Khan was “delighted to inaugurate a new in-country office of the ICC Office of the Prosecutor in Caracas, focused on complementarity activities and engagement with the national authorities.” Compare this with the more restrained ICC memorandum announcing the establishment of an office in Ukraine in March 2023 to investigate alleged Russian war crimes: “We are very grateful for the cooperation, support and assistance we have received from the government of Ukraine and look forward to strengthening our cooperation in the future.”⁴⁷

Shared responsibility versus subtle animosity

When it comes to Venezuela, Karim Khan emphasizes the shared responsibility for the investigation of crimes against humanity: “I have been clear that the meaningful realization of the vision set out in the Statute can only be achieved by deepening cooperation and by finding common ground wherever possible, even in complex and challenging circumstances.”⁴⁸

No such cooperation existed when dealing with Israel.

Following his meeting with Venezuela’s chief prosecutor, Khan said, “I think there is unity on a central truth: that the dark cloud of suspicion or allegations will not lift without the gentle breeze or winds of credible investigations.”⁴⁹

Why is the ICC so gentle with Venezuela and yet so harsh with Israel?

An expanded role for the ICC?

Perhaps for Karim Khan, armed conflicts with international implications are more alluring than dealing with an unflamboyant authoritarian such as Nicolás Maduro. Russia/Ukraine and “the Situation in Palestine” give the chief prosecutor a more global stage and allow him to go beyond the International Criminal Court’s goal of “building a more just world” to encompass the very mission of the United Nations, “the maintenance of international peace and security.”⁵⁰

Anti-Israel bias?

When examining anti-Israel bias, one cannot help noting that the two chief prosecutors who dealt with “the Situation in Palestine” are of the Muslim faith or, perhaps, simply harbor the anti-Israel prejudices that have become common among the developing nations at the UN.

Upon concluding the preliminary examination, Chief Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda stated “I am satisfied that war crimes have been or are being committed in the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.”⁵¹ Given that the purpose of an examination is to establish ICC authority for an investigation, implying that crimes are definitively occurring indicates bias, particularly since it was over a year before the Court even ruled on its territorial jurisdiction.

Karim Khan’s mentor was the international jurist Muhammad Zafarullah Khan, who served as Pakistan’s first foreign minister and argued forcefully at the United Nations in 1947 against the establishment of a Jewish state in Palestine.⁵² Like his protégé—who refers to him as his “adopted grandfather”—Muhammad was a prominent member of the Ahmadiyya Muslim movement.⁵³

Khan's lack of sympathy for the Jewish State is apparent in both his actions and his public statements. At his sexual misconduct hearing, the Chief Prosecutor declared: "Looking at the globe, we see a pandemic of inhumanity. Look at Ukraine, look at Palestine, look at Darfur,"⁵⁴ conspicuously omitting Israel, which had endured the genocidal attack of October 7. Like his mentor, Karim Khan effectively excludes Israel from the family of nations.

Corruption at the International Criminal Court?

In May, Khan was accused of sexually harassing a female ICC employee. CBS News reported that Senator Lindsey Graham is seeking records on whether the misconduct accusations influenced Khan's decision that same month to cancel an aide's planned visit to Israel and proceed with war crimes charges against Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and former Defense Minister Yoav Gallant.⁵⁵

In September 2024, the *Washington Post* reported that Chief Prosecutor Karim Khan's sister-in-law, Venkateswari Alagendra, an international criminal lawyer, began representing President Nicolás Maduro and Minister of Interior Diosdado Cabello Rondón before the ICC.⁵⁶ This is a clear violation of the organization's code of conduct, which requires members to avoid any conflict arising from "personal interest in the case, including a spousal, parental or other close family" relationship. Later that month, a request for the chief prosecutor to recuse himself from the Venezuela case was submitted but has not yet been acted upon.⁵⁷

It seems that the International Criminal Court that seeks "a world without impunity," should do some serious introspection and house cleaning before proceeding to its goal of "building a more just world."

Updates since publication

Since this article was completed, several further developments have emerged involving the International Criminal Court, including matters relating to the Prosecutor, developments in Venezuela, and recent judicial decisions affecting Israeli leaders.

Chief Prosecutor Karim Khan

Since this article was completed, further developments concerning the ICC Prosecutor have emerged. In May 2025, Chief Prosecutor Karim Khan was placed on leave pending the outcome of an investigation into allegations of sexual misconduct.⁵⁸ In August 2025, he was ordered to step aside from the *Venezuela I* case due to a conflict of interest arising from the representation of Venezuelan officials before the Court by his sister-in-law, an international criminal lawyer.⁵⁹

The Court had previously dismissed an initial complaint in February 2025, accepting the Chief Prosecutor's argument that he could not recall discussing the case with his sister-in-law and that he did not attend meetings in which she was present. In December

2025, the United Nations announced that it had completed its investigation into the misconduct allegations; the findings remain confidential pending review by an external panel of judicial experts.⁶⁰

Nicolás Maduro and Venezuela

On 1 December 2025, the International Criminal Court announced that it would close its office in Caracas, citing a lack of “real progress” in domestic investigations by Venezuelan authorities.⁶¹ The decision underscored the prolonged stagnation of the ICC’s Venezuela investigation, more than eight years after the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights documented widespread abuses by the Maduro regime.⁶²

Shortly thereafter, on 11 December 2025, Venezuela’s National Assembly unanimously voted to repeal the law ratifying the Rome Statute, paving the way for the country’s withdrawal from the ICC. The president of the ruling party-dominated assembly, Jorge Rodríguez, said the law would take immediate effect, adding that President Nicolás Maduro was expected to sign the repeal in order to formalize Venezuela’s withdrawal.⁶³

According to Rodríguez, the purpose of the legislation—officially referred to as the “Law for Palestine,” which repealed Venezuela’s membership in the ICC—was to demonstrate the “uselessness and servitude” of the Court, which he described as “an institution that should serve to protect peoples but only serves the designs of the American empire.”⁶⁴ Rodríguez further asserted that ICC judges “are not there to provide justice or defend rights,” and accused the institution of remaining silent “about Israel’s genocide,” even as it continued to take legal action against Israeli leaders.⁶⁵

Rodríguez’s claim that the ICC serves American interests is untenable on its face: the United States is not a party to the Rome Statute and has actively sanctioned Court officials for pursuing cases against American and Israeli leaders.⁶⁶ The cynical invocation of Palestine in the “Law for Palestine” came only at the end of this years-long process, but it illustrates how far the Palestinian cause has been weaponized beyond the bounds of normal international political discourse—while laying bare the regime’s fundamental lack of seriousness about international justice.

That Chief Prosecutor Karim Khan failed to recognize this authoritarian mindset earlier—and instead spent years attempting to cajole cooperation from a government that was never negotiating in good faith—raises serious questions about his strategic judgment and his willingness to swiftly bring charges against those responsible for Venezuela’s systematic repression.

On 3 January 2026, Nicolás Maduro Moros and his wife, Cilia Adela Flores, were captured in a rapid operation in Caracas and transferred to New York to face charges related to narcotics trafficking and terrorism.⁶⁷ It remains unclear whether Maduro signed the legislation repealing Venezuela’s ratification of the Rome Statute before his

capture, despite reports that his signature was required to formalize the country's withdrawal from the ICC. Delcy Rodríguez, the former vice president and sister of the head of the National Assembly, was subsequently sworn in as President of Venezuela to fill the leadership vacuum, with the backing of Washington.⁶⁸

Because the International Criminal Court generally does not disclose the names of individuals under investigation, it is not known whether Delcy Rodríguez or her brother Jorge Rodríguez are targets of the ICC's probe into crimes against humanity. Meanwhile, several high-ranking figures indicted by the U.S. Department of Justice for narco-terrorism and drug trafficking remain at large in Venezuela. The most prominent among them is the Minister of Interior, Diosdado Cabello, for whom the U.S. Department of State is offering a reward of up to \$25 million.⁶⁹

Benjamin Netanyahu, Yoav Gallant, and Israel

In July 2025, judges at the International Criminal Court rejected Israel's request to withdraw arrest warrants issued against Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and former Defense Minister Yoav Gallant and declined to suspend the broader investigation. The Court held that Israel's jurisdictional challenge remained under consideration but ruled that the warrants would remain in force pending a final decision.⁷⁰

The contrast between the Court's prolonged inertia in the Venezuela case and its rapid and sustained legal action against Israeli leaders underscores, as this article argues, not two isolated controversies but a deeper crisis of institutional consistency and credibility within the International Criminal Court, which remains unresolved.

The writer is an independent writer, researcher and translator based in Jerusalem

¹ Karim Khan, ICC prosecutor seeking war crimes charges against Israel's Netanyahu, accused of sexual misconduct, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/karim-khan-icc-war-crimes-charges-israel-netanyahu-amas-sexual-misconduct-claims/>

² Arcadia's rebuttal to Prosecutor Karim Khan and the mandate to deliver fair justice, <https://arcadiafoundation.org/arcadias-rebuttal-to-the-arguments-advanced-by-prosecutor-karim-khan-and-the-mandate-to-deliver-impartial-and-fair-justice/>

³ International Criminal Court: Code of Conduct for the Office of the Prosecutor, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/iccdocs/oj/otp-COC-Eng.PDF>

⁴ What is the International Criminal Court (ICC) and what is its relationship with the UN?, <https://ask.un.org/faq/97157>

⁵ Assessing the Results of Venezuela's Presidential Election, <https://2021-2025.state.gov/assessing-the-results-of-venezuelas-presidential-election/>, <https://2021-2025.state.gov/assessing-the-results-of-venezuelas-presidential-election/>

-
- ⁶ UN experts decry worsening repression in Venezuela after contested election, <https://apnews.com/article/venezuela-maduro-election-edmundo-gonzalez-rights-75393ebfa98a0ae7f30801547265c2ba>
- ⁷ Russia's notorious private military company spotted in Venezuela, <https://defence-blog.com/russias-notorious-private-military-company-spotted-in-venezuela/>
- ⁸ UNHCR: Venezuela Humanitarian Crisis, <https://www.unrefugees.org/emergencies/venezuela/>
- ⁹ Statement of ICC Prosecutor, Fatou Bensouda, respecting an investigation of the Situation in Palestine, 3 March 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-fatou-bensouda-respecting-investigation-situation-palestine>,
- ¹⁰ ICC Prosecutor, Mr Karim A.A. Khan QC, opens an investigation into the Situation in Venezuela and concludes Memorandum of Understanding with the Government, 5 November 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-mr-karim-aa-khan-qc-opens-investigation-situation-venezuela-and-concludes>,
- ¹¹ Pauline Lesaffre, Double Classification of Non-Consensual State Interventions: Magic Protection or Pandora's Box?, International Law Studies, U.S. Naval War College, Vol. 99 (2022), <https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/ils/vol99/iss1/15/>
- ¹² Judge Péter Kovács, Partly Dissenting Opinion, ICC-01/18-143-Anx1, Pre-Trial Chamber I, 5 February 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/court-record/icc-01/18-143-anx1>
- ¹³ State of Palestine, Referral by the State of Palestine Pursuant to Articles 13(a) and 14 of the Rome Statute, 15 May 2018, https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/itemsDocuments/2018-05-22_ref-palestine.pdf
- ¹⁴ Venezuela: Human rights violations indicate 'policy to repress' - UN report, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2017/08/venezuela-human-rights-violations-indicate-policy-repress-un-report>
- ¹⁵ Statement of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court, Fatou Bensouda, on the referral by a group of six States Parties regarding the situation in Venezuela, 27 September 2018, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-prosecutor-international-criminal-court-fatou-bensouda-referral-group-six-states>
- ¹⁶ Fostering Impunity: The Impact of the Failure of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to Open an Investigation into the Possible Commission of Crimes Against Humanity in Venezuela, <https://www.oas.org/documents/eng/press/Crimes-Against-Humanity-in-Venezuela-II-ENG.pdf>
- ¹⁷ ICC prosecutor sees 'reasonable basis' to believe Venezuela committed crimes against humanity, <https://www.reuters.com/article/world/americas/icc-prosecutor-sees-reasonable-basis-to-believe-venezuela-committed-crimes-aga-idUSKBN28O2ZR/>
- ¹⁸ ICC Prosecutor, Mr Karim A.A. Khan QC, opens an investigation into the Situation in Venezuela and concludes Memorandum of Understanding with the Government, 5 November 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-mr-karim-aa-khan-qc-opens-investigation-situation-venezuela-and-concludes>
- ¹⁹ Notification of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela's deferral request under article 18(2) of the Rome Statute, 21 April 2022, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/court-record/icc-02/18-17>

²⁰ Venezuela I situation: ICC Appeals Chamber confirms the decision authorising the resumption of the investigation, 1 March 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/venezuela-i-situation-icc-appeals-chamber-confirms-decision-authorising-resumption>,.

²¹ Venezuela: Scale and gravity of ongoing crimes demand urgent actions from ICC prosecutor, <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2024/08/venezuela-crimes-demand-urgent-action-icc-prosecutor/>

²² Pastrana and 30 other former Ibero-American leaders call on ICC to order Maduro's arrest, <https://www.euronews.com/my-europe/2024/09/07/pastrana-and-30-other-former-ibero-american-leaders-call-on-icc-to-order-maduros-arrest>

²³ Karim Khan advierte que la complementariedad en Venezuela «se está agotando», <https://accesoalajusticia.org/karim-khan-advierde-complementariedad-venezuela-se-esta-agotando/>

²⁴ Human Rights Watch Reports: Venezuela Events of 2022, <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2023/country-chapters/venezuela>

²⁵ The Status of Palestine in the UN – Non-member observer State status – SecGen report, <https://www.un.org/unispa/document/auto-insert-182149/>

²⁶ Palestine declares acceptance of ICC jurisdiction since 13 June 2014,” Press Release, International Criminal Court, 5 January 2015, ICC-CPI-20150105-PR1080, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/palestine-declares-acceptance-icc-jurisdiction-13-june-2014>

²⁷ Fatou Bensouda, Statement of the ICC Prosecutor on the conclusion of the preliminary examination of the Situation in Palestine, and seeking a ruling on the scope of the Court’s territorial jurisdiction, 20 December 2019, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-fatou-bensouda-conclusion-preliminary-examination-situation-palestine>

²⁸ Referral by the State of Palestine Pursuant to Articles 13(a) and 14 of the Rome Statute, 15 May 2018, https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/itemsDocuments/2018-05-15_ref-palestine.pdf

²⁹ Fatou Bensouda, Statement of the ICC Prosecutor respecting an investigation of the Situation in Palestine, 3 March 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-fatou-bensouda-respecting-investigation-situation-palestine>

³⁰ Daniel A. Blumenthal, “The Politics of Justice: Why Israel Signed the International Criminal Court Statute and What the Signature Means,” Georgia Journal of International and Comparative Law, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 596–597, https://dlg.usg.edu/record/ugalaw_gjicl_vol30-iss3-10

³¹ The International Criminal Court and Israel/Palestine: An Exploration of the Court’s Jurisdiction Over the Region and an Analysis of Potential War Crimes Committed During the 2014 Gaza War, <https://globaljustice.queenslaw.ca/news/the-international-criminal-court-and-israel-palestine-an-exploration-of-the-courts-jurisdiction-over-the-region-and-an-analysis-of-potential-war-crimes-committed-during-the-2014-gaza-war>

³² ICC, *Situation in the State of Palestine*, ICC-01/18, Pre-Trial Chamber I, 22 January 2020, https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/CourtRecords/CR2020_00161.PDF

³³ *ICC Pre-Trial Chamber I Issues Its Decision on the Prosecutor’s Request Related to Territorial Jurisdiction over Palestine*, Press Release, 5 February 2021, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-pre-trial-chamber-i-issues-its-decision-prosecutors-request-related-territorial>

³⁴ Judge Péter Kovács, Partly Dissenting Opinion, ICC-01/18-143-Anx1 (Pre-Trial Chamber I, 5 February 2021), available at: <https://www.icc-cpi.int/court-record/icc-01-18-143-anx1>

³⁵ ICC Prosecutor Karim A. A. Khan KC, “ICC Prosecutor concludes first visit to Israel and State of Palestine,” 3 December 2023, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-khan-kc-concludes-first-visit-israel-and-state-palestine-icc-prosecutor>

³⁶ Statement of ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan KC on the issuance of arrest warrants in the Situation in the State of Palestine, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-kc-issuance-arrest-warrants-situation-state-palestine>, 21 November 2024

³⁷ ICC Staff Was to Hear Israel’s Defense, but Charges Came First, <https://www.wsj.com/world/middle-east/icc-staff-was-to-hear-israels-defense-but-charges-came-first-4dc4aedc>

³⁸ ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan KC concludes official visit to Venezuela, signing MoU on establishment of in-country office, 13 June 2023, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-kc-concludes-official-visit-venezuela-signing-mou-establishment>

³⁹ ICC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan KC concludes visit to Venezuela, opens in-country office in Caracas, 24 April 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-kc-concludes-visit-venezuela-opens-country-office-caracas>

⁴⁰ Situation in the State of Palestine: ICC Pre-Trial Chamber I rejects the State of Israel’s challenges to jurisdiction and issues warrants of arrest for Benjamin Netanyahu and Yoav Gallant, 21 November 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/situation-state-palestine-icc-pre-trial-chamber-i-rejects-state-israels-challenges>

⁴¹ State of Palestine, Situation in the State of Palestine, ICC-01/18, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/palestine>: In November 2023, South Africa, Bangladesh, Bolivia, Comoros, and Djibouti submitted a referral of the Situation in Palestine, and Chile and Mexico did so in January 2024. The Prosecutor confirmed that these referrals fall within the existing Palestine investigation and extend to the escalation of hostilities since the October 7 attacks.

⁴² The Prosecutor’s Circumvention of Article 18 Complementarity? A Flaw in the ICC’s Palestine Investigation, <https://www.justsecurity.org/96296/icc-article-18-complementarity/>

⁴³ Wall Street Journal, ICC Staff Was to Hear Israel’s Defense, but Charges Came First

⁴⁴ Nicolás Maduro Moros and 14 Current and Former Venezuelan Officials Charged with Narco-Terrorism, Corruption, Drug Trafficking and Other Criminal Charges, Press Release, 26 March 2020, <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/nicol-s-maduro-moros-and-14-current-and-former-venezuelan-officials-charged-narco-terrorism>

⁴⁵ CC Prosecutor Karim A.A. Khan KC concludes visit to Venezuela, opens in-country office in Caracas, 24 April 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-kc-concludes-visit-venezuela-opens-country-office-caracas>

⁴⁶ European Parliament Briefing: Controversial legislative elections in Venezuela, [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/659427/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)659427_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/659427/EPRS_BRI(2020)659427_EN.pdf)

⁴⁷ Ukraine and International Criminal Court sign an agreement on the establishment of a country office, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/ukraine-and-international-criminal-court-sign-agreement-establishment-country-office>. 23 March 2023

⁴⁸ ICC Prosecutor, Karim A.A. Khan QC, notifies Pre-Trial Chamber I of a request from the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to defer his investigation under article 18(2) of the Rome Statute and confirms intention to apply for authority to resume investigations,

<https://reliefweb.int/report/venezuela-bolivarian-republic/icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-qc-notifies-pre-trial-chamber-i>

⁴⁹ Venezuela's president meets with accuser in ongoing criminal probe into human rights abuse, <https://apnews.com/article/venezuela-maduro-international-criminal-court-crackdown-343a1c90098910ae780b2637e7f222f0>

⁵⁰ Statement of ICC Prosecutor, Karim A.A. Khan QC, at the opening of the Trial in the case of the Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-karim-aa-khan-qc-opening-trial-case-prosecutor-v-ali-muhammad-ali-abd>, 6 April 2022: In his opening statement for the Darfur trial, Khan emphasized that the UN Security Council had determined that the situation "represented a threat to international peace and security," invoking the UN's broader mandate rather than focusing solely on the ICC's judicial role.

⁵¹ ICC, Statement of Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda, 20 December 2019, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/statement-icc-prosecutor-fatou-bensouda-conclusion-preliminary-examination-situation-palestine>

⁵² Defending Palestinian Rights: An Appeal to the World by Sir Zafrulla Khan, <https://www.reviewofreligions.org/31211/the-palestinian-question-united-nations/>

⁵³ The Lawyer Who Keeps Failing Palestine: Who is ICC Prosecutor Karim Khan?, <https://www.palestinechronicle.com/the-lawyer-who-keeps-failing-palestine-who-is-icc-prosecutor-karim-khan/>

⁵⁴ ICC's Chief Prosecutor Karim Khan Hearing Live In Sexual Misconduct Case | News18 Live | N18G, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttKF4yvsXel&t=3870s>

⁵⁵ Karim Khan, ICC prosecutor seeking war crimes charges against Israel's Netanyahu, accused of sexual misconduct, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/karim-khan-icc-war-crimes-charges-israel-netanyahu-hamas-sexual-misconduct-claims/>

⁵⁶ Maduro likely lost Venezuela's election but refuses to leave. What now?, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2024/09/06/maduro-survives-election-opposition-options/>

⁵⁷ Request for Recusal of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court in the Case of Venezuela I Due to Conflict of Interest, 8 September 2024, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/RelatedRecords/0902ebd1809fb0e3.pdf>

⁵⁸ ICC prosecutor Karim Khan steps aside pending outcome of sexual misconduct investigation, AP News, <https://apnews.com/article/un-icc-prosecutor-khan-sexual-misconduct-d826e69abfbcedacef2b270ffe410610d>

⁵⁹ Appeals judges order ICC prosecutor to recuse himself from Venezuela investigation, AP News, <https://apnews.com/article/icc-venezuela-karim-khan-hague-f85661e2e3c5baa44cae9daeb962d7df>

⁶⁰ UN completes investigation into ICC prosecutor's alleged sexual misconduct, <https://www.reuters.com/world/un-completes-investigation-into-icc-prosecutors-alleged-sexual-misconduct-2025-12-12/>

⁶¹ Venezuelan lawmakers pave way for withdrawal from International Criminal Court, Reuters, 11 December 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/world/americas/venezuelan-lawmakers-pave-way-withdrawal-international-criminal-court-2025-12-11/>

⁶² Venezuela Slams ICC as Caracas Office Closes, <https://impactpolicies.org/news/693/venezuela-slams-icc-as-caracas-office-closes>

⁶³ Venezuelan lawmakers pave way for withdrawal from International Criminal Court, Reuters, 11 December 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/world/americas/venezuelan-lawmakers-pave-way-withdrawal-international-criminal-court-2025-12-11/>

⁶⁴ Globovisión (@globovision), Instagram post quoting Jorge Rodríguez, 11 December 2025, <https://www.instagram.com/p/DSInwapjCPh/>. Globovisión is a Venezuelan 24-hour television news network.

⁶⁵ Jorge Rodríguez says ICC judges “are not there to provide justice or defend rights” and accuses the institution of remaining silent “about Israel’s genocide,” Venezuela’s Parliament withdraws from Rome Statute, accuses ICC of ‘subservience’, EFE, 11 December 2025, <https://efe.com/en/latest-news/2025-12-11/venezuelas-parliament-withdraws-from-rome-statute-accuses-icc-of-subservience/>

⁶⁶ Trump administration imposes sanctions on four ICC judges in unprecedented move, <https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-administration-imposes-sanctions-icc-judges-us-treasury-says-2025-06-05/>

⁶⁷ Reported capture of Nicolás Maduro, Military.com, 3 January 2026, <https://www.military.com/feature/2026/01/03/reported-capture-of-nicolas-maduro.html>

⁶⁸ Delcy Rodriguez sworn in as Venezuela's interim president, <https://www.reuters.com/video/watch/idRW440005012026RP1/#:~:text=Venezuela's%20vice%20president%20and%20oil,00:44>

⁶⁹ U.S. Department of State, Rewards for Justice: Diosdado Cabello Rondón, <https://www.state.gov/diosdado-cabello-rondon>

⁷⁰ ICC judges reject Israel’s request to withdraw Netanyahu arrest warrant, Reuters, 16 July 2025, <https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/icc-judges-reject-israels-request-withdraw-netanyahu-arrest-warrant-2025-07-16/>